1 2	FEDICE	RAL ELECTION COMMISSION 999 E Street, N.W.	
3		Washington, D.C. 20463	
4			
5	FIRST (EENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT	
6			
7		Pre-MUR: 443	
8		DATE RECEIVED: December 4, 2006	
9		DATE ACTIVATED: March 21, 2007	
10		DATE DE-ACTIVATED: June 1, 2007 ¹	
11		RE-ACTIVATED: September 11, 2007	
12			
13		EXPIRATION OF STATUTE OF	
14		LIMITATIONS: June 7, 2010	
15			
16		MUR: 5927	
17		DATE COMPLAINT FILED: July 19, 2007	
18		DATE OF NOTIFICATION: July 23, 2007	
19		DATE ACTIVATED: September 11, 2007	
20 21		LAST RESPONSE RECEIVED: Nov. 30, 2007	
21 22		EXPIRATION OF STATUTE OF	
23		LIMITATIONS: June 7, 2010	
24			
25	COMPLAINANT:	The Beacon Mutual Insurance Co.	
26			
27	RESPONDENTS:	Joseph A. Solomon	
28		The Beacon Mutual Insurance Co.	
29			
30	RELEVANT STATUTES:	2 U.S.C. § 441f	
31		2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)	
32			
33	INTERNAL REPORTS CHEC	KED: Disclosure Reports	
34			
35	FEDERAL AGENCIES CHECKED: None		

The Beacon Mutual Insurance Co.'s initial submission had some characteristics of a sua sponte submission; however, it was directed at only one individual, Joseph A. Solomon. Pre-MUR 443 was deactivated after Beacon's counsel indicated that Beacon would submit a signed and notarized Complaint regarding Mr. Solomon's fundraising activities. We have now received a response to the Complaint, and Pre-MUR 443 was reactivated.

1 I. INTRODUCTION

- 2 The Beacon Mutual Insurance Co. ("Beacon") alleges that its former President and
- 3 Chief Executive Officer, Joseph A. Solomon, 2 may have violated the Federal Election
- 4 Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"), by using personal funds to reimburse
- 5 contributions made by Pamela L. Alarie (Beacon's Director of Human Resources) and
- 6 Christina M. Burton (Mr. Solomon's Executive Assistant) to Whitehouse '06, the principal
- 7 campaign committee of Sheldon Whitehouse in the 2006 election for U.S. Senate from
- 8 Rhode Island.³ See Pre-MUR 443 Submission (Attachment 1) at 1⁴ and MUR 5927
- 9 Complaint.
- 10 Mr. Solomon, in his response to Beacon's Complaint ("Solomon Response"),
- admitted that he used his personal funds to reimburse three individuals who contributed
- 12 \$1.000 each to Whitehouse '06: Ms. Alarie, Ms. Burton and Sheldon S. Sollosy (the former
- 13 Chairman of Beacon's Board of Directors). See Solomon Response (Attachment 2) at 2, 4.
- 14 Mr. Sollosy, in his response to our pre-reason to believe notification letter, stated that
- 15 Mr. Solomon gave him \$1,000 to make a contribution to Whitehouse '06. See Sollosy
- 16 Response (Attachment 3) at 5.

² Beacon's Board of Directors reportedly fired Mr. Solomon in April 2006 after an external audit revealed that Beacon improperly gave various clients price breaks. See AP Alert — Rhode Island, Beacon faces grand jury subpoena. Two board members removed, Associated Press (April 20, 2006). The F.B.L is investigating Mr. Solomon's activities at Beacon.

³ On August 17, 2007, Whitehouse '06 amended its Statement of Organization to change its name to "Whitehouse for Senate." See Amended Statement of Organization dated August 17, 2007. However, for purposes of this Report we refer to the committee as Whitehouse '06 because that was its name at the time of the events referred to herein.

⁴ Because the pages of Beacon's initial submission were not sequentially numbered, we have numbered the pages of the submission and attached it to this Report for the Commission's convenience.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 1 Based on the available information, we recommend that the Commission: (1) find
- 2 reason to believe that Joseph A. Solomon knowingly and willfully violated 2 U.S.C.
- 3 §§ 441f and 441a(a) by making three contributions to Whitehouse '06 in the names of
- 4 others and making an excessive contribution to Whitehouse '06; and (2) find reason to
- 5 believe that Sheldon S. Sollosy violated 2 U.S.C. § 441f by knowingly permitting
- 6 Mr. Solomon to use his name to make a contribution to Whitehouse '06. In addition, for
- 7 the reasons stated below, we make no recommendations at this time with respect to Pamela
- 8 L. Alaric, Christina M. Burton, Beacon, or Whitehouse '06.

9 II. <u>FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS</u>

A. Summary of Beacon's Submission

Beacon's investigation into Mr. Solomon's fundraising activities began after The Providence Journal published an article, which disclosed Whitehouse '06's receipt of contributions from Beacon's top executives. See Katherine Gregg, Questions raised about donors, The Providence Journal, October 24, 2006. Attachment 1 at 4, 5. That article caused Deloitte Financial Advisory Services LLP ("Deloitte"), auditors hired by Rhode Island's Department of Business Regulation, to contact Clifford Parent, Beacon's interim CEO, regarding the contributions. Deloitte raised several questions, including whether reimbursements had been made either by individuals or by the company. Id. at 6.

In response to Deloitte's inquiry, Beacon requested each current employee who was
known to have contributed to the Whitehouse campaign in June 2005 to answer a

⁵ In or about June 2005, Whitehouse '06 received \$11,600 from Beacon's Board members, executives, and employees.

13

- 1 questionnaire regarding their respective contribution. See id. at 2, 33. The questionnaire
- 2 asked, inter alia, whether the employee's contribution was reimbursed by Beacon "or any
- 3 other individual;" whether there was a promise of reimbursement by the company, such as a
- 4 bonus or other form of compensation; and whether the employee felt pressured or coerced
- 5 into making the contribution. *Id.* at 33.
- In response to Beacon's questionnaire, Ms. Alarie and Ms. Burton stated that
- 7 Mr. Solomon personally reimbursed them for their contributions. Id. at 36, 37. Ms. Alarie
- 8 further stated that "months" later she gave the money back to Mr. Solomon. Id. at 37.
- 9 Finally, the responses suggest that Mr. Solomon offered to reimburse four other
- 10 subordinates who contributed to Whitehouse '06 at Mr. Solomon's request. In their
- 11 respective questionnaire responses, each of those individuals stated that they declined
- 12 Mr. Solomon's offer. ⁷ Id. at 7, 34, 40, and 42.

B. Joseph A. Solomon

- 14 The Act provides that no person shall make a contribution in the name of another
- 15 person. 2 U.S.C. § 441f. Further, during the 2006 election cycle, the Act limited the
- 16 amount a person could contribute to any candidate for Federal office and his authorized
- political committees to \$2.100 per election. 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(1)(A).
- 18 As noted earlier, Mr. Solomon, in a sworn Declaration ("Solomon Declaration"),
- 19 admits that he reimbursed Ms. Alarie, Ms. Burton, and Mr. Sollosy for their respective

It appears that Mr. Sollosy was not asked to respond to the questionnaire because he resigned his position as Chairman of Beacon's Board of Directors in February 2006 (see Attachment 3 at 4), eight months before the questionnaire was sent out. See Attachment 1 at 33.

According to questionnaire responses, Mr. Solomon did not offer to reimburse two other subordinates who contributed to Whitehouse '06. See Attachment 1 at 38, 44.

- 1 \$1,000 contributions to Whitehouse '06." See Solomon Declaration ¶ 5 (Attachment 2
- 2 at 4). Mr. Solomon used his personal funds to make the reimbursements. See Attachment 2
- at 2. Thus, because Mr. Solomon reimbursed three individuals who made contributions to
- 4 Whitehouse '06, he, in fact, made the contributions in their names. 9 Mr. Solomon also
- 5 made a \$2,100 contribution in his own name to Whitehouse '06 on June 2, 2005. When
- 6 Mr. Solomon's \$2,100 contribution is aggregated with the \$3,000 in contributions he made
- 7 in the names of Ms. Alarie, Ms. Burton, and Mr. Sollosy, he exceeded the Act's
- 8 contribution limit by \$3,000. Therefore, there is reason to believe that Mr. Solomon
- 9 violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441f and 441a(a).
- Moreover, it appears that Mr. Solomon's conduct may have been knowing and
- 11 willful. The phrase "knowing and willful" indicates that "acts were committed with full
- 12 knowledge of all the relevant facts and a recognition that the action is prohibited by law...."
- 13 122 Cong. Rec. H3778 (daily ed. May 3, 1976); see also AFL-CIO v. FEC, 628 F.2d 97-98.
- 14 101-02 (D.C. Cir.), cert. denied, 449 U.S. 982 (1980) (noting that a "willful" violation
- 15 includes "such reckless disregard of the consequences as to be equivalent to a knowing,
- 16 conscious, and deliberate flaunting of the Act," but concluding on the facts before it that
- 17 this standard was not met) (cited in National Right to Work Comm. v. FEC, 716 F.2d 1401,
- 18 1403 (D.C. Cir. 1983)).
- Mr. Solomon states that he "did not believe that reimbursing someone who wanted
- 20 to make a contribution was considered making a contribution in the name of another and
- 21 was therefore improper." See Solomon Declaration ¶ 6 (Attachment 2 at 4). However,

Whitehouse '06 reported the respective \$1,000 contributions as having been made by Pamela L. Alarie, Christina M. Burton, and Sheldon S. Sollosy.

As noted, Pamela Alarie stated that she ultimately gave the money back to Mr. Solomon. Sheldon Sollosy also returned the money, long after the contribution. See Attachment 3 at 5.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- there is information from which the Commission can infer that Mr. Solomon knew that his 1 2 conduct was prohibited by law. Specifically, it appears that Mr. Solomon was aware that an individual donor could contribute no more than \$2,100 per election during 2005, as he 3 contributed exactly \$2,100 to Whitehouse '06 for the primary election. After making the 5 maximum allowable individual contribution to Whitehouse '06. Mr. Solomon offered to 6 personally reimburse six subordinates and then-Chairman Sheldon Sollosy for making 7 \$1,000 contributions to Whitehouse '06. Attachment 1 at 7, 34, 36, 37, 40, and 42. As 8 noted, Mr. Solomon did ultimately reimburse two of his subordinates as well as 9 Mr. Sollosy. 10 Based upon these facts, the Commission can draw an inference that Mr. Solomon 11
 - Based upon these facts, the Commission can draw an inference that Mr. Solomon intended to circumvent the individual contribution limit per election by using his subordinates and Mr. Sollosy to make additional contributions to Whitehouse '06 with his own personal funds. Accordingly, we recommend that the Commission find reason to believe that Joseph A. Solomon knowingly and willfully violated 2 U.S.C §§ 441f and 441a(a).¹⁰

C. The Conduits

The Act's prohibition on making contributions in the name of another also applies to any person who knowingly permits his or her name to be used to effect such a contribution. See 2 U.S.C. § 441f. By accepting money from Mr. Solomon for making their respective contributions to Whitehouse '06, Ms. Alarie, Ms. Burton and Mr. Sollosy

In a number of recent matters involving Section 441f violations, the Commission has found that the conduct of the individuals reimbursing the contributors was knowing and willful. See, e.g., MUR 5903 (PBS&J Corp.), MUR 5849 (Bank of America), MUR 5818 (Floger, Kenney & Johnson), MUR 5666 (MZM, Inc.), MUR 5504 (Karoly Law Offices), MUR 5366 (Edwards for Pres.), and MUR 5092 (Michael Lazaroff).

1	knowingly p	permitted Mr.	Solomon to	use their name:	s to make a	contribution t	C
---	-------------	---------------	------------	-----------------	-------------	----------------	---

2 Whitehouse '06.

3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1. Pamela L. Alarie and Christina M. Burton

4 We are not making a recommendation with respect to Ms. Alarie or Ms. Burton at 5 this time. Because they were Mr. Solomon's subordinates, their contributions may not have 6 been entirely voluntary. See MUR 5871 (Thomas W. Noe) General Counsel's Report # 2 7 (Commission took no action as to conduits who were employees or subordinates of Mr. 8 Noe and may have felt pressured to participate in Mr. Noe's reimbursement scheme). In 9 response to Beacon's questionnaire, Ms. Alarie stated: "I felt pressure to contribute because 10 Joe [Solomon] indicated to me that he was upset with one individual that was not willing to 11 participate. In an effort to remain in good standing with my boss, I participated." 12 Attachment 1 at 37. Ms. Burton, in her response to Beacon's questionnaire, stated: "I did 13 what I was asked to do by my boss." Id. at 36. Thus, we make no recommendation at this

2. Sheldon S. Sollosy

time with respect to Pamela L. Alarie or Christina M. Burton.

By contrast, conduit Sheldon S. Sollosy was not a subordinate of Mr. Solomon, but rather was Beacon's Chairman at the time of his contribution to Whitehouse '06. Another difference, noted in Mr. Sollosy's response, is that he was solicited for a contribution by Whitehouse '06 -- not by Mr. Solomon. See Sollosy Declaration ¶ 8 (Attachment 3 at 5). Mr. Sollosy stated that Mr. Solomon gave him \$1,000 to make the contribution to Whitehouse '06. Id. Mr. Sollosy further stated that he gave the money back to

- 1 Mr. Solomon on August 6, 2007, more than two years after he made the contribution. 11 Id.
- 2 Finally, Mr. Sollosy stated that he "did not think it was illegal to accept the \$1,000 from
- 3 Mr. Solomon or to contribute \$1,000 to Mr. Whitehouse." Sollosy Declaration ¶ 9
- 4 (Attachment 3 at 5). Nonetheless, because Mr. Sollosy knowingly permitted Mr. Solomon
- 5 to make a contribution to Whitehouse '06 in his name, we recommend that the Commission
- 6 find reason to believe that Sheldon S. Sollosy violated 2 U.S.C. § 441f.

D. Beacon

Based on the available information, it appears that company funds were not used to reimburse Ms. Alarie, Ms. Burton, and Mr. Sollosy; rather, Mr. Solomon used his personal funds. See Attachment 1 at 34; Attachment 2 at 2. Thus, we make no recommendation at this time with respect to Beacon. See MUR 5871 (Thomas Noe) (Law firm not liable for managing partner's 441f violation where personal funds, not the law firm's money, was used to make the reimbursements); see also

14 MUR 5092 (Michael Lazaroff).

E. Whitehouse '06

15 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

The Act makes it unlawful for any candidate, political committee, or other person to knowingly accept or receive a contribution in the name of another. 2 U.S.C. § 441f. The Act also prohibits any candidate or political committee from knowingly accepting any contribution in violation of the contribution limits set forth in section 441a. 2 U.S.C. § 441a(f). In this instance, there is no information that Whitehouse '06 accepted the contributions from Ms. Alarie, Ms. Burton, and Mr. Sollosy with knowledge that

Mr. Solomon had reimbursed them for their contributions and thereby made an excessive

Whitehouse '06 reported receiving Mr. Sollosy's contribution on May 31, 2005. See 2005 July 15th Quarterly Report.

1	contribution. Therefore, we make no recommendation at this time with respect to						
2	Whitehouse '06;						
3]						
4							
5							
6	III. <u>INVESTIGATION</u>						
7	As noted, Beacon's internal investigation included questionnaires sent to current						
8	employees who made contributions to Whitehouse '06, which led to the discovery of						
9	Joseph A. Solomon's reimbursement of contributions by Pamela L. Alarie and Christina						
10	M. Burton. In addition, Beacon's Finance Department reviewed all payments made to						
11	members of the Senior Management Team in 2005 and 2006 to determine if there were any						
12	\$1,000 payments made to any of those individuals. This review did not indicate that any						
13	such payment was made. See Attachment 1 at 34. In light of Mr. Solomon's revelation that						
14	he also reimbursed Sheldon S. Sollosy, we plan to conduct a focused investigation to						
15	determine the extent of the violations, including whether Mr. Solomon reimbursed						
16	contributions to other recipient committees. The investigation would also address						
17	Mr. Solomon's knowledge of campaign finance law at the time he made the						
18	reimbursements.						
19	IV. <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>						
20	1. Merge Pre-MUR 443 into MUR 5927.						
21 22 23	 Find reason to believe that Joseph A. Solomon knowingly and willfully violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441f and 441a(a). 						
24 25	3. Find reason to believe that Sheldon S. Sollosy violated 2 U.S.C. § 441f.						
26 27	4. Approve the attached Factual and Legal Analyses.						

!

